

**SPE RESPONSE FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

DATE : April 12, 2012

*Page 1 of 2*

TO SPE OF : ART UNIT 2111

SUBJECT : Request for Certificate of Correction for Appl. No.: 10/596744 Patent No.: 7624220

CofC mailroom date: 4-5-12

Please respond to this request for a certificate of correction within 7 days.

**FOR IFW FILES:**

Please review the requested changes/corrections as shown in the **COCIN** document(s) in the IFW application image. No new matter should be introduced, nor should the scope or meaning of the claims be changed.

Please complete the response (see below) and forward the completed response to scanning using document code **COCX**.

**FOR PAPER FILES:**

Please review the requested changes/corrections as shown in the attached certificate of correction. Please complete this form (see below) and forward it with the file to:

**Certificates of Correction Branch (CofC)**  
**Randolph Square – 9D10-A**  
**Palm Location 7580**

Note: RECONSIDERATION

**Magdalene Talley**

Certificates of Correction Branch

**571-272-0423**

**Thank You For Your Assistance**

**The request for issuing the above-identified correction(s) is hereby:**

Note your decision on the appropriate box.

☐ **Approved**

All changes apply.

☐ **Approved in Part**

Specify below which changes **do not** apply.

☒ **Denied**

State the reasons for denial below.

**Comments:** Applicant seems to misunderstand how the claims were renumbered at the time of issue. Because claim 32 in the amendment of July 2, 2009, depends from claim 30 and claim 31 depends from claim 23, in the renumbering of the claims at the time of issue claim 32 was renumbered such that it followed immediately after claim 30. Since claim 30 was renumbered as 8, that means that claim 32 followed it as claim 9. Claim 31 which depends from claim 23 was renumbered as claim 10 depending on claim 1. This renumbering is in accordance with long-standing practice. The claims read exactly as

**SPE RESPONSE FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

presented otherwise, i.e. issued claim 10 reads exactly as claim 32 as presented. Therefore no correction is necessary.

/Tim Vo/ SPEAU2111

**SPE**

**Art Unit**